

## COURSE SYLLABUS

Academic year 2025 - 2026

### 1. Programme Information

1.1. Higher education institution	Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu
1.2. Faculty	Faculty of Science
1.3. Department	Mathematics and Informatics
1.4. Field of study	Informatics
1.5. Level of study <sup>1</sup>	Master
1.6. Programme of study/qualification	Cybersecurity

### 2. Course Information

2.1. Name of course	IT Systems Audit and Security Risk Management	Code	FSTI.MAI.CS.M.SO .4.2020.E-7.1
2.2. Course coordinator	Associate Prof. Nicolae Constantinescu		
2.3. Seminar/laboratory coordinator	Associate Prof. Nicolae Constantinescu		
2.4. Year of study <sup>2</sup>	2	2.5. Semester <sup>3</sup>	2
2.6. Evaluation form <sup>4</sup>	E		
2.7. Course type <sup>5</sup>	R	2.8. The formative category of the course <sup>6</sup>	S

### 3. Estimated Total Time

3.1. Course Extension within the Curriculum – Number of Hours per Week				
3.1.a. Lecture	3.1.b. Seminar	3.1.c. Laboratory	3.1.d. Project	Total
2		2		4
3.2. Course Extension within the Curriculum – Total Number of Hours within the Curriculum				
3.2.a. Lecture	3.2.b. Seminar	3.2.c. Laboratory	3.2.d. Project	Total <sup>7</sup>
24		24		48
<b>Time Distribution for Individual Study<sup>8</sup></b>				<b>Hours</b>
Learning by using course materials, references and personal notes				36
Additional learning by using library facilities, electronic databases and on-site information				36
Preparing seminars / laboratories, homework, portfolios and essays				36
Tutorial activities <sup>9</sup>				6
Exams <sup>10</sup>				5
<b>3.3. Total Individual Study Hours<sup>11</sup> (NOSI<sub>sem</sub>)</b>				<b>119</b>
<b>3.4. Total Hours in the Curriculum (NOAD<sub>sem</sub>)</b>				<b>56</b>
<b>3.5. Total Hours per Semester<sup>12</sup> (NOAD<sub>sem</sub> + NOSI<sub>sem</sub>)</b>				<b>175</b>
<b>3.6. No. of Hours / ECTS</b>				<b>25</b>
<b>3.7. Number of credits<sup>13</sup></b>				<b>7</b>

#### 4. Prerequisites (if needed)

4.1. Courses that must be successfully completed first (from the curriculum) <sup>14</sup>	-
4.2. Competencies	-

#### 5. Conditions (where applicable)

5.1. For course/lectures <sup>15</sup>	Classroom, equipped with blackboard, computer, video projector and software
5.2. For practical activities (lab/sem/pr/app) <sup>16</sup>	Laboratory room equipped with computers

#### 6. Learning Outcomes<sup>17</sup>

Number of credits assigned to the discipline: 7				
Learning outcomes				Credit distribution by learning outcomes
Nr. crt.	Knowledge	Skills	Responsibility and autonomy	
LO 1	The student explains risk analysis models for hardware and software systems.	The student applies methods to assess the degree of risk for these systems.	The student demonstrates responsibility in documenting results and adopts a critical approach.	1.5
LO 2	The student describes risk analysis models for data entry and data storage systems.	The student performs assessments and proposes risk reduction models for these systems.	The student assumes responsibility for developing solutions and security recommendations.	2
LO 3	The student understands risk analysis methods for data transmission systems and individual system protection.	The student applies risk reduction models and simulates attack scenarios.	The student shows autonomy in selecting and using methods and complies with legal and ethical standards.	2
LO 4	The student explains risk analysis within protection systems of local and global networks.	The student develops risk reduction models for complex networks.	The student shows high responsibility in addressing vulnerabilities and adopts professional conduct.	1.5

#### 7. Course objectives (resulted from developed competencies)

7.1. Main course objective	Acquiring and understanding the necessary notions in order to analyze the degree of risk of an IT system, from the point of view of its degree of vulnerability and methods of ameliorating the risks.
7.2. Specific course objectives	Accumulating knowledge related to the basic rules for securing hardware and software systems, detecting mistakes in the design of information security architectures.

#### 8. Content

8.1. Lectures <sup>18</sup>	Teaching methods <sup>19</sup>	Hours
Models for analyzing the degree of risk of hardware systems. Models for analyzing the degree of risk of software systems	Lecture, use of video projector, discussions with students	4

Analysis of the degree of risk of information entry systems. Risk reduction models	Lecture, use of video projector, discussions with students	4
Analysis of the degree of risk of data storage systems. Risk reduction models	Lecture, use of video projector, discussions with students	4
Analysis of the degree of risk of data transmission systems. Risk reduction models	Lecture, use of video projector, discussions with students	4
Risk analysis within the protection systems of individual systems. Risk reduction models	Lecture, use of video projector, discussions with students	4
Analysis of the risks within the protection systems of the systems within the local networks. Risk reduction models	Lecture, use of video projector, discussions with students	4
Analysis of the risks within the protection systems of the systems within the global networks. Risk reduction models	Lecture, use of video projector, discussions with students	4
<b>Total lecture hours:</b>		<b>28</b>

<b>8.2. Practical activities</b> (8.2.a. Seminar <sup>20</sup> / 8.2.b. Laboratory <sup>21</sup> / 8.2.c. Project <sup>22</sup> )	<b>Teaching methods</b>	<b>Hours</b>
Software for analyzing the degree of risk of hardware systems. Implementation, optimization, combining functionalities.	Use of video projector, discussions with students	4
Software for analyzing the degree of risk of information entry systems. Implementation, optimization, combining functionalities.	Use of video projector, discussions with students	4
Software for analyzing the degree of risk of data storage systems. Implementation, optimization, combining functionalities.	Use of video projector, discussions with students	4
Software for analyzing the degree of risk of data transmission systems. Implementation, optimization, combining functionalities.	Use of video projector, discussions with students	4
Risk analysis software within the protection systems of individual systems. Implementation, optimization, combining functionalities.	Use of video projector, discussions with students	4
Risk analysis software in the protection systems of local area networks. Implementation, optimization, combining functionalities.	Use of video projector, discussions with students	4
Software for risk analysis within systems protection systems within global networks. Implementation, optimization, combining functionalities.	Use of video projector, discussions with students	4
<b>Total seminar/laboratory hours:</b>		<b>28</b>

## 9. Bibliography

9.1. Recommended Bibliography	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. R. Pompon, IT Security Risk Control Management, An Audit Preparation Plan, Apress 2016</li> <li>2. R. M. Clark, S. Hakim, Cyber-Physical Security - Protecting critical infrastructure at the State and Local Level, Springer 2019</li> <li>3. S. Guo, D. Zeng, Cyber-Physical Systems - Architecture, Security and Application, Springer 2019</li> <li>4. S. Parkinson, A. Crampton, R. Hill, Guide to Vulnerability Analysis for Computer Networks and Systems, Springer 2021</li> </ol>
a. Additional Bibliography	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. J. Grand, R. Russel, Hardware Hacking, Syngress 2004</li> <li>2. An Introduction to Computer Security, NIST 2017</li> <li>3. L. Ayala, Cybersecurity Lexicon, Apress 2016</li> <li>4. The Complete Internet Security Manual, BDITS 2019</li> </ol>

## 5. Conjunction of the discipline's content with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and significant employers of the specific study program<sup>23</sup>

It is done through regular contacts with the representatives of the companies. Cybersecurity topic is actual and is of great interest in existing software companies on the local, national and global market.

## 6. Evaluation

Activity Type	11.1 Evaluation Criteria	11.2 Evaluation Methods		11.3 Percentage in the Final Grade	Obs. <sup>24</sup>
11.4a Exam / Colloquy	• Theoretical and practical knowledge acquired (quantity, correctness, accuracy)	Tests during the semester <sup>25</sup> :	%	50% (minimum 5)	CEF
		Homework:	%		
		Other activities <sup>26</sup> :	%		
		Final evaluation:	50%		
11.4b Seminar	• Frequency/relevance of participation or responses	Evidence of participation, portfolio of papers (reports, scientific summaries)		5% (minimum 5)	nCPE
11.4c Laboratory	• Knowledge of the equipment, how to use specific tools; evaluation of tools, processing and interpretation of results	• Written questionnaire • Oral response • Laboratory notebook, experimental works, reports, etc. • Practical demonstration		5% (minimum 5)	nCPE
11.4d Project	• The quality of the project, the correctness of the project documentation, the appropriate justification of the chosen solutions	• Self-evaluation, project presentation • Critical evaluation of a project		40% (minimum 5)	nCPE
11.5 Minimum performance standard <sup>27</sup> To pass the exam, the candidate must have a basic knowledge of the IT systems audit					

**The Course Syllabus will encompass components adapted to persons with special educational needs (SEN – people with disabilities and people with high potential), depending on their type and degree, at the level of all curricular elements (skills, objectives, contents, teaching methods, alternative assessment), in order to ensure fair opportunities in the academic training of all students, paying close attention to individual learning needs.**

Filling Date: | \_1\_|\_5\_| / | \_0\_|\_9\_| / | \_2\_|\_0\_|\_2\_|\_5\_|

Department Acceptance Date: | \_3\_|\_0\_| / | \_0\_|\_9\_| / | \_2\_|\_0\_|\_2\_|\_5\_|



	Academic Rank, Title, First Name, Last Name	Signature
Course Teacher	Associate Prof. Nicolae Constantinescu	
Study Program Coordinator	Associated Professor PhD. Nicolae Constantinescu	
Department Head	Professor PhD. Mugur Acu	

<sup>1</sup> Bachelor / Master

<sup>2</sup> 1-4 for bachelor, 1-2 for master

<sup>3</sup> 1-8 for bachelor, 1-3 for master

<sup>4</sup> Exam, colloquium or VP A/R - from the curriculum

<sup>5</sup> Course type: R = Compulsory course; E = Elective course; O = Optional course

<sup>6</sup> Formative category: S = Specialty; F = Fundamental; C = Complementary; I = Fully assisted; P = Partially assisted; N = Unassisted

<sup>7</sup> Equal to 14 weeks x number of hours from point 3.1 (similar to 3.2.a.b.c.)

<sup>8</sup> The following lines refer to individual study; the total is completed at point 3.37.

<sup>9</sup> Between 7 and 14 hours

<sup>10</sup> Between 2 and 6 hours

<sup>11</sup> The sum of the values from the previous lines, which refer to individual study.

<sup>12</sup> The sum (3.5.) between the number of hours of direct teaching activity (NOAD) and the number of hours of individual study (NOSI) must be equal to the number of credits assigned to the discipline (point 3.7) x no. hours per credit (3.6.)

<sup>13</sup> The credit number is computed according to the following formula, being rounded to whole neighbouring values (either by subtraction or addition

$$\text{No. credits} = \frac{\text{NOCpSpD} \times C_C + \text{NOApSpD} \times C_A}{\text{TOCpSpD} \times C_C + \text{TOApSpD} \times C_A} \times 30 \text{ credits}$$

Where:

- NOCpSpD = Number of lecture hours / week / discipline for which the credits are calculated
- NOApSpD = Number of application hours (sem./lab./pro.) / week / discipline for which the credits are calculated
- TOCpSpD = Total number of course hours / week in the Curriculum
- TOApSpD = Total number of application hours (sem./lab./pro.) / week in the Curriculum
- C<sub>C</sub>/C<sub>A</sub> = Course coefficients / applications calculated according to the table

Coefficients	Course	Applications (S/L/P)
Bachelor	2	1
Master	2,5	1,5
Bachelor - foreign language	2,5	1,25

<sup>14</sup> The courses that should have been previously completed or equivalent will be mentioned

<sup>15</sup> Board, video projector, flipchart, specific teaching materials, online platforms, etc.

<sup>16</sup> Computing technology, software packages, experimental stands, online platforms, etc.

<sup>17</sup> Competences from the Grids related to the description of the study program, adapted to the specifics of the discipline

<sup>18</sup> Chapter and paragraph titles

<sup>19</sup> Exposition, lecture, board presentation of the studied topic, use of video projector, discussions with students (for each chapter, if applicable)

<sup>20</sup> Discussions, debates, presentations and/or analyses of papers, solving exercises and problems

<sup>21</sup> Practical demonstration, exercise, experiment

<sup>22</sup> Case study, demonstration, exercise, error analysis, etc.

<sup>23</sup> The relationship with other disciplines, the usefulness of the discipline on the labour market

<sup>24</sup> CPE – Conditions Exam Participation; nCPE – Does Not Condition Exam Participation; CEF - Conditions Final Evaluation; N/A – not applicable

<sup>25</sup> The number of tests and the weeks in which they will be taken will be specified

<sup>26</sup> Scientific circles, professional competitions, etc.

<sup>27</sup> The minimum performance standard in the competence grid of the study program is customized to the specifics of the discipline, if applicable